

**IN THE INCOME TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL  
DELHI BENCH: 'B' NEW DELHI  
BEFORE SHRI R.K.PANDA, ACCOUNTANT MEMBER  
&  
MS. SUCHITRA KAMBLE, JUDICIAL MEMBER  
ITA No.-4292 /Del/2019 (A.Y. 2012-13)**

DCIT Central Circle-13 New Delhi <b>Appellant</b>	vs	M/s. Goldmine Buildcon Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi PAN: AACCG5658F <b>Respondent</b>
<b>Assessee by</b>		<b>None CA's</b>
<b>Revenue by</b>		<b>Ms. Naina Soin Kapil, Sr.DR</b>

<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>23.08.2019</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>28.08.2019</b>

**ORDER**

**PER SUCHITRA KAMBLE, J.M.**

This appeal is filed by the department against separate order dated 28.02.2019 passed by the Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals)-23, New Delhi for assessment year 2012-13.

2. At the outset, it was brought to our notice that the tax effect involved in this appeal being less than Rs. 50 lacs, squarely falls within the ambit of Circular No. 17/2019 dated 08.08.2019 issued by the Central Board of Direct Taxes prescribing the tax effect for preferring appeals before Tribunal by the revenue and subsequent clarification issued by CBDT on 20<sup>th</sup> August, 2019.

3. After perusing the materials available on record, we find that the amount disputed before us is below the tax effect limit prescribed by CBDT vide Circular No. 17/2019 dated 08.08.2019

for preferring appeals before tribunal by the revenue. On perusal of the Circular No. 17/2019 dated 08.08.2019 and the materials available on record, Ld. Sr. DR could not point out as to how and why such a Circular is not applicable to the facts of the case. We find that the subsequent clarification dated 20.08.2019 makes it very clear that the revised monetary limits shall apply retrospectively to pending appeals also. The Circular is binding on the tax authorities. Hence, we hold that the appeal of the revenue deserve to be dismissed on account of low tax effect vide Circular No. 17/2019 dated 08.08.2019 and subsequent clarification on 20.08.2019. Accordingly, on account of low tax effect case, we dismiss this appeal of revenue in limine, without going into the merits of the case.

4. In the result, appeal of the revenue is dismissed.

Order pronounced in the open court on 28.08.2019

**Sd/-**  
**(R.K.PANDA)**  
**ACCOUNTANT MEMBER**

**Sd/-**  
**(SUCHITRA KAMBLE)**  
**JUDICIAL MEMBER**

Dated: 28.08.2019  
\*BR\*

Copy forwarded to:

1. Appellant
2. Respondent
3. CIT
4. CIT(Appeals)
5. DR: ITAT

TRUE COPY

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR  
ITAT NEW DELHI

Date of dictation	26/08/2019
Date on which the typed draft is placed before the dictating Member	26/08/2019
Date on which the typed draft is placed before the Other Member	
Date on which the approved draft comes to the Sr. PS/PS	
Date on which the fair order is placed before the Dictating Member for pronouncement	
Date on which the fair order comes back to the Sr. PS/PS	
Date on which the final order is uploaded on the website of ITAT	
Date on which the file goes to the Bench Clerk	
Date on which the file goes to the Head Clerk	
The date on which the file goes to the Assistant Registrar for signature on the order	
Date of dispatch of the Order	